

HAARETZ

Editor: Aluf Benn

English Edition
Editor: Avi Scharf
Managing Editor: Simon Spungin
Deputy Publisher: Guy Rolnik
Managing Director, Haaretz Group
Rami Guez
Managing Director, English Edition
Aviva BronsteinHaaretz Daily Newspaper Ltd.
21 Schocken St., Tel Aviv 61350 Israel.
Tel: 03-5121212 Fax: 03-6810012
Customer Service Tel: 03-5121750 Fax: 03-5121703
E-mail: nyti@haaretz.co.il. Advertising: 03-5121774, 03-5121112
Letters to the editor: letters@haaretz.co.il or fax: 03-5121156

Let the Knesset decide

The Knesset presidium's decision to disqualify the proposed Basic Law on Israel as the State of All its Citizens a priori, even before it had been submitted to the representatives for debate, is an essentially anti-democratic act. The Knesset is the premier representative of the people, who are the sovereign power in a democracy, and it is the body which debates bills and approves or rejects them. There was no reason to prevent it from debating the bill submitted by Joint List MKs Jamal Zahalka, Haneen Zoabi and Joumah Azbarga.

On the contrary, in the long-standing debate over whether there's a contradiction between Israel's democratic component and its Jewish component, those who insist that there is no contradiction between the two argue that this is so because a majority of Israelis want it to be the state of the Jewish people. For this very reason, had the bill been brought up for debate, it would surely have been voted down. And in any case, should the time come when most Israelis want to make substantive changes in the state's self-definition, no democratic mechanism, and certainly not the Knesset bylaws, can stand between the people and such a change.

The bill was disqualified on the basis of Article 75(e) of the Knesset's bylaws, which says, "The Knesset presidium shall not approve a bill which, in its view, rejects Israel's existence as the state of the Jewish people, or which is racist in essence." But a footnote to this provision states, "This authority does not include the power to not approve a bill because of objections, no matter how strong, to its sociopolitical content."

Even though the presidium claims it disqualified the bill because it subverts Israel as a Jewish and democratic state, the real reason for its disqualification actually appears to have been strong objections to its political content. These objections were even shared by the Zionist Union party's representative in the presidium, MK Revital Swid, who supported the decision to disqualify the bill. This is further proof that on key issues, Zionist Union is not an alternative to the right.

The Knesset presidium has approved many bills that blatantly discriminate between Jews and Arabs, enshrine the extra rights enjoyed by the Jewish majority and reinforce the inferiority of the Arab minority, first and foremost the nation-state bill. Wouldn't it be possible to argue that these bills – as many of their opponents, Jewish and Arab alike, do in fact argue – are essentially racist? Wouldn't it be possible to view the various annexation bills – which, among other things, would mean a radical change in Israel's demographic balance – as constituting a more serious threat to Israel's future as the national home of the Jewish people?

Attempting to use the Knesset bylaws to block bills which challenge Israel's Jewish majority is unacceptable. The Knesset must be allowed to debate the proposed Basic Law on Israel as a State of All its Citizens.

B. Michael

Fake Jews

"They've forgotten what it means to be Jewish" has lately become quite a common slur lately. Bibi started it, Gabbay followed suit, and journalist Yisrael Cohen is the latest to adopt it (Haaretz Hebrew, June 3). He used it to describe the woman who was filmed exhorting those Chabad nudniks who try to lasso travelers at the airport. One can understand her – they really are annoying, and not just at the airport. Once in their sights, it's pretty impossible to get away from them without losing your cool.

I like to tell them, with a straight face, that in our egalitarian family we take turns. This month my wife puts on the tefillin and I wear the wig. But maybe next month... And when I'm in a particularly bad mood, I unleash fake holy wrath on them and say that because their dead Rebbe-Messiah was a zealous anti-Zionist his whole life, I refuse to cooperate with his anti-Zionist adherents. It's quite amusing to see their jaw drop and how deeply offended they are (I don't tell them, of course, that in my book, "anti-Zionist" isn't necessarily a derogatory term).

Yisrael Cohen will probably decide that I, too, have "forgotten what it means to be Jewish." So be it. I'm not insulted. Forgetfulness is a useful human trait devoid of malice. To me, the fake Jews are a lot worse than

the forgetful Jews. The fake Jews who've invented their own Judaism. A Judaism that's as fake as a \$3 bill. A Judaism tailored to their despicable needs, a Judaism designed to liberate all of their worst impulses – arrogance, gheggy, condescension, greed, racism and so on.

Here's a little story, a small but typical incident that was reported a few days ago ("Jerusalem Palestinians

The police have only been called to silence the Muslim 'vekker' in the Old City who disturbs the rest of the "fake Jews."

detained, fined for waking up Muslims for nightly Ramadan meal," May 30) about the exploits of some "fake Jews" who settled in Al-Quds not so long ago.

This is what happened: There's an ancient Muslim tradition whereby, during the month of Ramadan, before sunrise, young people in traditional garb go through the streets calling out to believers to rise and worship the Creator. This has been going on for centuries. This year, for the first time, the Israel Police started detaining some of these young men,



Eran Wolkowski | eranwol@haaretz.co.il

Zvi Bar'el

Hamas is an excellent partner

When Defense Minister Avigdor Lieberman threatens to topple Hamas, the walls should shake, and not with laughter. The last thing we need is for this threat to become real and for it really to be possible with a few targeted assassinations to kill off the organization's leaders. Because doing so would have two terrifying consequences. First, Israel would have to reoccupy Gaza and manage the world's largest and most densely crowded refugee camp, supply water, electricity, medical and educational services to the two million civilians there, allocate billions from its budget and bear direct responsibility for any civil activity. Second, the Israel Defense Forces would find itself facing an array of local terrorist militias that operate without coordination and without a united leadership, unlike the current leadership that is still ready to take responsibility for security, even if it at times falls short.

This would be the type of occupation from which the United States fled in Iraq and Afghanistan, and from which Israel extricated itself after 18 years in Lebanon. Fortunately for Israel, it could still occupy Gaza the way it

occupies the West Bank – an occupation that is done in part via an emissary. In the West Bank this is the Palestinian Authority and in Gaza it's Hamas. In both cases, Israel is exempt from direct management, from paying huge sums at the Israeli taxpayer's expense for the sake of the occupied residents, and in Gaza it is also free from legal responsibility.

Lieberman is lying to the public when he promises to bring down the Hamas government.

In Gaza and the West Bank, Israel enjoys a deluxe occupation. But unlike with the West Bank, where the theoretical threat of a "peace process" still hovers, Hamas also frees Israel from having to deal with this nuisance. Hamas does not recognize Israel, it has no interest in diplomatic negotiations with it, its demand that Israel withdraw from all territories does not come with any promises of a diplomatic or military gain, and so this demand serves no purpose.

Because of its diplomatic and economic weakness, Hamas is forced to accede to the dictates of Egypt, which maintains a brutal blockade

of Gaza on a par with Israel's. Paradoxically, because of the close ties between Egypt and Israel, Hamas finds itself trapped in a security coordination with Israel, just like the Palestinian Authority. This can be seen in the occasional assessments that high-ranking IDF officers provide the government and the media, saying that Hamas is or is not interested

in turning up the heat at the moment. The Israeli consideration of Hamas' rational interests and the coordination of the Israeli response to the organization's purported interests amounts to a dialogue between partner-rivals, with each side intimately familiar with the other's thought processes and responding accordingly.

The distinction Israel has made in recent years between Hamas and other organizations like Islamic Jihad and the Salafi groups, and the policy of holding Hamas solely accountable creates a governmental hierarchy that places Hamas in the position of a recognized government,

the only party with which Israel is willing to do business. And there is plenty of business to be done. Negotiations for the return of the missing men and the bodies of the soldiers held in Gaza; cease-fire agreements, border openings and closings (on the Israel and Egyptian sides), granting transit permits to Egypt and Israel, overseeing the transfer of construction materials, and Israeli pressure on the PA to transfer designated funds to Gaza, even when they are certain to fall into Hamas' hands.

The rehabilitation of Gaza will not be accomplished without Hamas' cooperation, and the easing of conditions that the IDF wants to grant Gazans cannot go ahead without a nod from Hamas. Lieberman is lying to the public when he promises to bring down the Hamas government, because unlike some of his vociferous colleagues, he is well aware of the benefits that Israel reaps from Hamas being in power. Just as Israel recognizes that there is no alternative to Bashar Assad's regime in Syria, it knows that Hamas is the only option right now for ruling the dangerous prison that is Gaza. Whoever wants to get rid of Hamas should first explain who he would put in its place.

What solution would give incentives for greater calm and pave the way to a sustainable "hudna" — or long-term cease-fire? Israel could act behind the scenes to establish an international task force, without being part of it. This task force would bring together experts in fields like health and vital infrastructure and would include European countries acceptable to both sides (Britain, Germany and France, for example).

The task force's first objective would be to rebuild the health and sanitation systems in Gaza, improving water and sewage systems and ensuring that hospitals can function properly. These

Eran Yashiv

A practical solution to the crisis in Gaza

The situation in Gaza is depressing, it's true. But rather than give in to despair, I would like to suggest a practical solution that could save us and the Gazans from the vicious cycle in which we are currently trapped.

This depressing situation has arisen in Gaza, and to a large degree in the West Bank too, because there is no significant force acting to improve conditions for the people. Hamas' main interest is in preserving its power while amassing firepower, and it is willing to sacrifice lives to this end. The Israeli government is not offering any original ideas, and is taking a fairly passive stance toward Gaza. It certainly isn't overly concerned with Gazans' welfare. Egypt is trying to minimize the damage from Gaza, and international organizations are working at the margins, on a small scale. From time to time, conferences are held about support for Gaza, but they are mostly for show. In the absence of an "unseen hand" that will bring real change, this trap will continue to exact a heavy price.

A practical solution exists, however, and it is even fairly simple and inexpensive. The Gaza Strip is not the focus of any religious-ideological dispute, and is not situated in a strategic location. Its fundamental problems are terrible overcrowding, extreme poverty and continuous destruction of infrastructure. As

Emmanuel Sivan recently wrote (in Haaretz in Hebrew, May 18), this situation has been developing for more than 30 years. But it is now acute, because Gaza has become vulnerable to epidemics that could overwhelm its hospitals and to possible acts of despair.

lapsed. Practical steps must be taken first before weighing the viability of such grandiose projects.

Much more modest proposals, like easing the blockade and the facilitating the transit of goods, are insufficient. This sort of thing is heard all the time from politicians and pundits, but Israel cannot easily implement these steps — and, in any case, they would be a mere drop in the sea, given

If there were major construction projects underway in Gaza, the kind of protests we've seen lately would almost surely have been less massive.

the scale of the problems.

What solution would give incentives for greater calm and pave the way to a sustainable "hudna" — or long-term cease-fire? Israel could act behind the scenes to establish an international task force, without being part of it. This task force would bring together experts in fields like health and vital infrastructure and would include European countries acceptable to both sides (Britain, Germany and France, for example).

The task force's first objective would be to rebuild the health and sanitation systems in Gaza, improving water and sewage systems and ensuring that hospitals can function properly. These

Chemi Shalev

GOP, Likud betray democracy

Donald Trump's lawyers admitted this week that they and the White House had lied when denying the president's involvement in the formulation of the statement about the June 2016 meeting between Donald Trump Jr. and other advisers with Russian representatives. At first they asserted that Trump had nothing to do with last year's statement that claimed that the meeting centered on adoption of Russian children, rather than, as it later transpired, a Russian offer for dirt on Hillary Clinton. A few weeks later they changed their story, saying that Trump had actually "weighed in" on the contents of the statement. But in a letter to Special Counsel Robert Mueller published by the New York Times this week, the same lawyers now admit that Trump "dictated" the misleading statement, word for word.

House Majority leader Kevin McCarthy, who seeks to replace Paul Ryan after his retirement as speaker, was asked twice about Trump's blatant reversal in a CNN interview on Sunday, and twice refused to respond. Instead, he derided the Mueller investigation and the media's fixation with it. We should concentrate on the president's economic achievements and his upcoming summit with North Korean leader Kim Jong Un instead, he suggested.

McCarthy knows that if he crosses Trump he will effectively scuttle his chances to replace Ryan. He is not alone: Fear of Trump's retribution and the wrath of his loyal Republican base paralyzes most of McCarthy's colleagues in the Senate and House of Representatives. They kept silent on Monday, when Trump asserted that he has the authority to pardon himself, a position that would turn him into a president who stands above the law, and some even applauded.

GOP enablers tell themselves that they are overlooking Trump's personal deficiencies in order to advance the conservative ideology they believe in, but most are allowing concern for their personal futures to erase their morals and conscience. The mirror image in Israel

is actually far worse, because Benjamin Netanyahu has shown his Likud colleagues time and time again the heavy price that is exacted from anyone who dares challenge him in public. It's enough for them to remember the fate of former top Likudniks Dan Meridor, Moshe Yaalon, Gideon Saar, Roni Milo and a host of others, as well as the long line of former security chiefs who confronted Netanyahu, who were either expelled from political life or were made into targets of his fans' abuse and hatred. They know full well that in his efforts to avoid prosecution, Netanyahu is undermining the rule of law, but they have internalized that silence is golden while enthusiastic support for the prime minister is an insurance policy that guarantees their future success.

The result, in both Israel and the United States, is that their respective ruling parties, Likud and GOP, have become nothing more than rubber stamps. They have turned into organizations in which flattery will get you everywhere, kowtowing is a sure-fire recipe for advancement and sycophants are generously rewarded. Their members have willingly relinquished any pretense of acting independently, reining in their leaders or influencing the votes and policies of the cabinets and legislatures that they ostensibly control.

In exchange for personal survival and accumulation of power, senior Republicans and members of the Likud betray their political and constitutional duty to serve as checks and balances. They are turning their backs on the democracy that enabled their election in the first place. The legislators among them are betraying their oaths to "protect and defend The Constitution," in Congress, and to "be loyal to the State of Israel and faithfully fulfill my mission," in the Knesset.

It's a slippery slope, of course. Ruling parties that ignore their leaders' clear-cut declarations of intent to stand above the law and the constitution are then crippled when sinister designs turn into reality. For the moment, their leaders enjoy the bounty of their capitulation but they eventually find it hard to escape conviction when facing the judgment of history.

The mirror image in Israel

ensure that the funds reach their intended target — not the Hamas leadership. The cost of such an economic effort — \$1-4 billion per year for several years, in my estimation — is not high in international terms. Remember that the Gazan economy is relatively small with a limited capacity to absorb investment.

Several hurdles must be overcome in order to ensure the success of this plan. The Israeli public's feelings toward Gazans range from indifference to hatred. Politicians, who have no vision for the future, fan these feelings as they concentrate on getting elected or staying in power.

The military leadership, which sees the dangers directly, isn't built to provide such solutions. The army knows how to fight, not how to build health infrastructure.

Add to this the fact that the world isn't all that concerned with the problems of Gaza. There are so many disasters happening in the Middle East (in Syria, Yemen, as well as Iraq and Libya) that it's hard to know what can be done, and ultimately nothing is done.

It's heartbreaking to see Gazans' suffering while many in Israel and the world remain indifferent, when an applicable solution is within reach.

Prof. Eran Yashiv teaches at Tel Aviv University's Berglas School of Economics.